

Synopsis and nomenclature of *Thuidium* and related genera in China

Timo Koponen¹ & Andries Touw²

¹⁾ Finnish-Chinese Botanical Foundation, Mailantie 109, FIN-08800 Kirkniemi, Finland

²⁾ National Herbarium, Universiteit Leiden branch, P.O. Box 9514, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

Received 3 Dec. 2002, revised version received 13 Jan. 2003, accepted 17 Jan. 2003

Koponen, T. & Touw, A. 2003: Synopsis and nomenclature of *Thuidium* and related genera in China. — *Ann. Bot. Fennici* 40: 129–133.

The genera *Thuidium* Bruch & Schimp. and *Pelekium* Mitt. have ten species in China, and the genera *Aequatoriella* A. Touw and *Bryochenea* C. Gao & K.C. Chang have one species each. The generic and specific nomenclature of these taxa in China is compared and a list of recommended names is given. *Pelekium microphyllum* (Schwaegr.) T.J. Kop. & A. Touw (*Haplohymenium microphyllum* Schwaegr.) is a new combination. The status of *Thuidium unipinnatum* Y.-M. Fang & T.J. Kop. and the genus *Bryochenea* need further study.

Key words: *Aequatoriella*, *Bryochenea*, China, Musci, nomenclature, *Pelekium*, *Thuidium*

In the years 2001 and 2002 the Chinese species of *Thuidium* (*s. lato*) were dealt with in three different papers. Fang and Koponen (2001) published a revision of *Thuidium*, *Haplocladium*, and *Claopodium* of China. Touw (2001a, 2001b) presented a realignment of genera traditionally accommodated in *Thuidium* and related genera, and a taxonomic revision of the Thuidiaceae of tropical Asia, the western Pacific, and Hawaii. Wu *et al.* (2002) treated the family Thuidiaceae in the *Moss flora of China* (English version). Touw in his studies, however, took into consideration only the taxa present in southern China, and some species with a northern distribution were excluded. The nomenclature in those three revisions is partly in agreement but in many cases differs both at the generic and specific levels, which is rather

confusing. The names used in the four publications are given in Table 1, with annotations. Only the taxa recorded from China are taken into consideration.

Recommended names for Chinese *Thuidium* and some related genera

The following list accepts the generic concept proposed by Touw (2001a) and is based on Table 1 and annotations 1–7.

Aequatoriella A. Touw

A. bifaria (Bosch & Sande Lac.) A. Touw

Table 1. Comparison of the names in (A) Fang and Koponen (2001); in (B) Wu *et al.* (2002); and in (C) Touw (2001a, 2001b). – = not recorded. () = not recorded from China.

A	B	C
Thuidium subgen. Thuidium	Thuidium	Thuidium
<i>T. tamariscinum</i> (Hedw.) B.S.G.	<i>T. tamariscinum</i>	–
<i>T. cymbifolium</i> (Dozy & Molk.) Dozy & Molk.	<i>T. cymbifolium</i>	<i>T. cymbifolium</i>
<i>T. philibertii</i> Limpr.	<i>T. assimile</i> (Mitt.) Jaeg.	<i>T. assimile</i>
<i>T. delicatulum</i> (Hedw.) Schimp.	<i>T. delicatulum</i>	–
<i>T. kanedae</i> Sakurai	<i>T. kanedae</i>	–
<i>T. submicropteris</i> Cardot	<i>T. submicropteris</i>	–
<i>T. pristocalyx</i> (Müll. Hal.) A. Jaeger	<i>T. pristocalyx</i>	–
<i>T. subglaucinum</i> Cardot	<i>T. subglaucinum</i>	<i>T. pristocalyx</i> var. <i>pristocalyx</i> + var. <i>orientale</i> (Mitt.) A. Touw
–	<i>T. glaucinoides</i> Broth. (1)	<i>T. subglaucinum</i>
–	<i>T. plumulosum</i> (Dozy & Molk.) Dozy & Molk. (2)	–
–	–	–
–	<i>T. erosifolium</i> S.-Y. Zeng	<i>T. pseudoglaucinum</i> A. Touw
subgen. Microthuidium Limpr.	Genus Cyrtio-hypnum Hampe & Lor.	Genus Pelekium
<i>T. bonianum</i> Besch.	<i>C. bonianum</i> (Besch.) W.R. Buck & Crum	<i>P. bonianum</i> (Besch.) A. Touw
<i>T. haplophymenium</i> (Harv.) A. Jaeger	<i>C. microphyllum</i> (Schwaegr.) P.-C. Wu, Crosby & S. He (3)	<i>P. haplophymenium</i> (Harv.) A. Touw
<i>T. fuscatum</i> Besch.	<i>C. fuscatum</i> (Besch.) P.-C. Wu, Crosby & S. He	<i>P. fuscatum</i> (Besch.) A. Touw
<i>T. sparsifolium</i> (Mitt.) A. Jaeger (4)	= <i>C. tamariscellum</i> (Müll. Hal.) W.R. Buck & Crum (4)	= <i>P. versicolor</i> (Müll. Hal.) A. Touw
<i>T. kuripanum</i> (Dozy & Molk.) R. Watan. (5)	<i>C. gratum</i> (P. Beauv.) W.R. Buck & Crum	<i>P. gratum</i> (P. Beauv.) A. Touw
<i>T. tamariscellum</i> (Müll. Hal.) Bosch & Sande Lac. (4)	<i>C. tamariscellum</i> (4)	<i>P. versicolor</i>
<i>T. pygmaeum</i> B.S.G.	<i>C. pygmaeum</i> (Schimp.) W.R. Buck & Crum	(<i>P. pygmaeum</i> (Schimp.) A. Touw)
<i>T. unipinnatum</i> Y.-M. Fang & T.-J. Kop. (6)	–	–
–	<i>C. contortulum</i> (Mitt.) P.-C. Wu, Crosby & S. He	<i>P. contortulum</i> (Mitt.) A. Touw
–	<i>C. minusculum</i> (Mitt.) W.R. Buck & Crum	<i>P. minusculum</i> (Mitt.) A. Touw
–	<i>C. versicolor</i> (Müll. Hal.) W.R. Buck & Crum	<i>P. versicolor</i> (Müll. Hal.) A. Touw
<i>T. vestitissimum</i> Besch.	<i>C. vestitissimum</i> (Besch.) W.R. Buck & Crum	Genus Bryocheneae C. Gao & K.C. Chang (7)
–	Genus Pelekium	<i>B. vestitissima</i> (Besch.) A. Touw
–	<i>P. velatum</i> Mitt.	Genus Pelekium
–	–	<i>P. velatum</i>
–	<i>P. bifarium</i> (Bosch & Sande Lac.) M. Fleisch.	Genus Aequatoriella
–	–	<i>A. bifaria</i> (Bosch & Sande Lac.) A. Touw

Bryochenea* C. Gao & K.C. ChangB. vestitissima* (Besch.) A. Touw***Pelekium* Mitt.***P. bonianum* (Besch.) A. Touw*P. contortulum* (Mitt.) A. Touw*P. erosifolium* (S.-Y. Zeng) A. Touw*P. fuscatum* (Besch.) A. Touw*P. gratum* (P. Beauv.) A. Touw*P. microphyllum* (Schwaegr.) T.J. Kop. & A. Touw*P. minusculum* (Mitt.) A. Touw*P. pygmaeum* (Schimp.) A. Touw*P. velatum* Mitt.*P. versicolor* (Müll. Hal.) A. Touw***Thuidium* Bruch & Schimp.***T. assimile* (Mitt.) Jaeg.*T. cymbifolium* (Dozy & Molk.) Dozy & Molk.*T. delicatulum* (Hedw.) Schimp.*T. kanedae* Sakurai*T. plumulosum* (Dozy & Molk.) Dozy & Molk.*T. pristocalyx* (Müll. Hall.) A. Jaegervar. *pristocalyx*var. *orientale* (Mitt.) A. Touw*T. pseudoglaucinum* A. Touw*T. subglaucinum* Cardot*T. submicropteris* Cardot*T. tamariscinum* (Hedw.) B.S.G.**Annotations**

The numbers refer to those in parentheses in Table 1.

1. The presence of *Thuidium glaucinoides* in continental Asia and East Asia is unlikely (Touw 2001b). Four Chinese collections previously identified ‘*Thuidium glaucinoides*’ were identified by the second author as follows:
 - a. C.-C. Chuang 1069 (NY) contains *T. pristocalyx s. stricto*.
 - b. Guangdong, Tate s.n. (BM, NY) = *T. pristocalyx* var. *orientale*.
 - c. Fujian, H. H. Chung B 290 (BM, FH, US) = *T. pristocalyx* var. *orientale*.
 - d. Taiwan, C.-C. Chuang 983 (NY), two sets, contain *T. pristocalyx* var. *orientale* and *T. assimile*, respectively.

The two latter specimens were cited as *Thuidium glaucinoides* by Wu *et al.* (2002). However, we have not studied all the vouchers cited by them.

2. Wu *et al.* (2002) recorded *Thuidium plumulosum* from Fujian and Xizang provinces but Touw (2001b) did not list it from China. Two collections previously identified as *T. plumulosum* are:
 - a. Taiwan, Yang *et al.* 2822 (B) = *T. cymbifolium*.
 - b. Fujian, H. H. Chung B 142 (US) = *T. cymbifolium*.

The latter specimen is a duplicate of the specimen cited by Wu *et al.* (2002).

3. Touw (2001b) treated *Leskea microphylla* Schwaegr. as a *nomen rejiciendum*. We agree with the opinion of Wu *et al.* (1999) that “there is nothing to block the use of Schwaegrichen’s “*microphyllum*” in *Cyrtohypnum*”, or in *Pelekium*, either.

Pelekium microphyllum* (Schwaegr.) T.J. Kop. & A. Touw, *comb. nova

Haplohypnum microphyllum Schwaegr., Sp. Musc. Suppl. 3, 2(1) [pages not numbered], pl. 271. 1829. — *Cyrtohypnum microphyllum* (Schwaegr.) P.-C. Wu, Crosby & S. He, Chenia 6: 7. 1999.

4. Touw (2001b) synonymized *Hypnum tamariscellum* and *Leskea sparsifolia* with *Pelekium versicolor* and Wu *et al.* (2002) synonymized *Leskea sparsifolia* with *Cyrtohypnum tamariscellum*.
5. Wu *et al.* (2002) did not see *Thuidium kuripanum* specimens from China and did not list it as a synonym of *Cyrtohypnum gratum*. However, they knew the probable synonymy through a personal communication with A. Touw (Wu *et al.* 1999).
6. *Thuidium unipinnatum* Y.-M. Fang & T.J. Kop., Bryobrothera 6: 38, figs. 17, 18. 2001. — *Cyrtohypnum unipinnatum* (Y.-M. Fang & T.J. Kop.) W.R. Buck, Bryologist 104: 501. 2001.

The strongly branched pseudoparaphyllium illustrated in fig. 17h of Fang and Koponen (2001) seems to suggest *Haplocladium* rather than *Thuidium* subgen. *Microthuidium*, or *Pelekium*. The choice for *Thuidium* subgen. *Microthuidium* rests in fact on pluripapillose vs. smooth apical branch leaf cells, since a delicate appearance and autoicous sexuality are common in both genera. As both apical cell states are present in *Thuidium s. lato*, and not rarely in a single species or even in a single specimen, it might not be surprising to find pluripapillose apical branch leaf cells in a species of *Haplocladium* as well. The status of *Thuidium unipinnatum* cannot be solved satisfactorily before a taxonomic revision of East Asian *Haplocladia* has been made, based on as much material as can be assembled.

7. The status of the genus *Bryocheneea* needs to be confirmed. When describing the genus, Gao and Chang (1982) described *B. ciliata* and combined to *Bryocheneea* a second species, *Thuidium sachalinense* (= *Helodium sachalinense*) as *Bryocheneea sachalinensis*. O'Brien (1993) and Wu and Jia (2000) studied the type of *Bryocheneea ciliata*, and came to different conclusions of its identity. O'Brien (1993) and later O'Brien and Horton (2000) synonymized it with *Thuidium vestitissimum* Besch. (= *Cyrto-hypnum vestitissimum*), but Wu and Jia (2000) synonymized it with *Bryonoguchia molkenboeri*. Wu *et al.* (2002) accepted the latter solution, while Touw (2001b) agreed with the former synonymization. Touw did not have the type of *Bryocheneea ciliata* at his disposal and he trusted the synonymization by O'Brien (1993).

On the basis of the description of *Bryocheneea ciliata*, differences exist between it and *Thuidium vestitissimum*. The specimens studied of the latter (Koponen 1990, Touw 2001b) have shorter stems and far shorter leaves. The stem leaves are at most loosely appressed and have shorter and wider leaf cells; the leaves of ultimate branchlets are coarsely mammillose-serrate. Moreover, we have not seen in *T. vestitissimum* a leaf like the one illustrated by Gao and Chang (table 2: 5). We also point out that such a leaf shape was not illustrated for *T. vestitissimum* in other pub-

lications (Watanabe 1972: pl. 6, Noguchi 1991: fig. 380A as *T. lepidoziaeum*, Fang & Koponen 2001: fig. 11A–F as *T. vestitissimum*, Touw 2001b: fig. 19 as *Bryocheneea vestitissima*). Comparison of the cited illustrations and plate 460 in Wu *et al.* (2002) gives the impression that their illustration is based on a misidentified specimen.

There seems to be even larger differences between *Bryocheneea ciliata* and *Bryonoguchia molkenboeri*. The latter has stems bearing branched uniseriate paraphyllia and numerous very large, foliose appendages, which often are strap-shaped and fringed with structures identical in shape to the uniseriate paraphyllia. The stem leaves are even longer (up to 2 mm) than in *Bryocheneea ciliata*, with branched appendages at the margins, and with wider leaf cells bearing large spiniform papillae (Watanabe 1972: pl. 31, Noguchi 1991: fig. 388). The leaves of the ultimate branchlets are spinose-serrate and also have very strong papillate laminal cells. Its perichaetial leaves (up to 5 mm), and setae (up to 5 cm) are much longer, and judging from descriptions and illustrations in the literature, its opercula are acute or rostellate instead of rostrate.

Accordingly, the specific and generic status of *Bryocheneea ciliata* remains obscure. It has been synonymized with two different species belonging to two different genera. To us it seems more closely related to *Thuidium vestitissimum* (= *Bryocheneea vestitissima*). However, it is possible that *B. ciliata* represents something completely different, but this cannot be solved without studying its type.

References

- Fang, Y.-M. & Koponen, T. 2001: A revision of *Thuidium*, *Haplocladium*, and *Claopodium* (Musci, Thuidiaceae) in China. — *Bryobrothera* 6: 1–81.
- Gao, C. & Chang, K.-C. 1982: Genera nova bryophytarum. — *Bull. Bot. Res.* 2: 113–121.
- Koponen, T. 1990: Notes on the genus *Thuidium* (Musci, Thuidiaceae) in China. — *Acta Bryologica. Asiat.* 2: 5–8.
- Noguchi, A. (supplemented by Z. Iwatsuki and T. Yamaguchi) 1991: *Illustrated moss flora of Japan* 4: 743–1012, i–ix. — Hattori Bot. Lab., Nichinan.
- O'Brien, T. J. 1993: *Bryocheneea sachalinensis* (Thuidiaceae) new to North America in south-central Alaska. — *Bryologist* 96: 205–209.
- O'Brien, T. J. & Horton, D. 2000: *Bryocheneea* (Musci: Thu-

- idiaceae) is *Cyrto-hypnum* (Thuidiaceae), but *B. sachalinensis* is *Echinophyllum* (Thuidiaceae), a new genus from the Pacific Rim. — *Bryologist* 103: 509–517.
- Touw, A. 2001a: A review of the Thuidiaceae (Musci) and realignment of taxa traditionally accommodated in *Thuidium* sensu amplo (*Thuidium* Schimp., *Thuidiopsis* (Broth.) M. Fleisch., and *Pelekium* Mitt.), including *Aequatoriella* gen. nov. and *Indothuidium* gen. nov. — *J. Hattori Bot. Lab.* 90: 167–209.
- Touw, A. 2001b: A taxonomic revision of the Thuidiaceae (Musci) of tropical Asia, the western Pacific, and Hawaii. — *J. Hattori Bot. Lab.* 91: 1–136.
- Watanabe, R. 1972: A revision of the family Thuidiaceae in Japan and adjacent areas. — *J. Hattori Bot. Lab.* 36: 171–320.
- Watanabe, R. 1991: Notes on the Thuidiaceae in Asia. — *J. Hattori Bot. Lab.* 69: 37–48.
- Wu, P.-C., Crosby, M. & He, S. 1999: *Cyrto-hypnum* Hampe (Thuidiaceae, Musci) in China. — *Chenia* 6: 1–19.
- Wu, P.-C. & Jia, Y. 2000: A revision of Chinese Thuidiaceae (s.l., Musci). — *Acta Phytotax. Sinica* 38: 256–265.
- Wu, P.-C., Wang, M.-Z. & Zhong, B.-G. 2002: Thuidiaceae. — In: He, S. (ed.), *Moss flora of China* 6. *Hooke-riaceae–Thuidiaceae*: 150–207. Sci. Press (Beijing, New York), Missouri Bot. Garden Press (St. Louis). [English version].