Notes on the genus *Hofmeisterella* (Orchidaceae), with the description of a new species from Colombia

Marta Kolanowska^{1,*}, Dariusz L. Szlachetko¹ & Ramiro Medina Trejo²

 ¹⁾ Department of Plant Taxonomy and Nature Conservation, University of Gdańsk, ul. Wita Stwosza 59, PL-80-308 Gdańsk, Poland (*corresponding author's e-mail: martakolanowska@wp.pl)
²⁾ Sibundoy Valley, Alto Putumayo, Colombia

choundey valley, nite r alamaye, colombia

Received 10 Oct. 2013, final version received 28 Jan. 2014, accepted 5 Feb. 2014

Kolanowska, M., Szlachetko, D. L. & Medina Trejo, R. 2014: Notes on the genus *Hofmeisterella* (Orchidaceae), with the description of a new species from Colombia. — *Ann. Bot. Fennici* 51: 207–211.

A new species of the orchid genus *Hofmeisterella*, *H. biglobulosa* Kolan., Szlach. & R. Medina Trejo, is described and illustrated. It is easily distinguished from *H. eumicroscopica* by the presence of globular projections on the lip disc. Brief taxonomic notes on *Hofmeisterella* and *Telipogon* are provided.

The orchid genus Hofmeisterella is a monospecific Neotropical taxon that was described by Reichenbach (1852). That author found H. eumicroscopica morphologically similar to Telipogon and Trichoceros, but the differences were sufficient to keep *H. eumicroscopica* as a distinct taxon. While there is a general consensus on the generic distinctness of Hofmeisterella, its taxonomic position is uncertain. Pfitzer (1887) placed it in the subtribe Odontoglossinae together with e.g. Odontoglossum, Oncidium, Solenidium, Ornithocephalus, Lockhartia and Phymatidium. Subsequently that subtribe has been split into several taxa. Several authors placed Hofmeisterella in the broad concept of Oncidiinae (Williams et al. 2005, Sandoval-Zapotitla et al. 2010, Neubig et al. 2012). However, Schlechter (1915) placed it in Ornithocephalinae, Dressler (1993) in Telipogoninae and Szlachetko and Mytnik-Ejsmont (2009) in Hintonellinae (Ornithocephaleae).

Hofmeisterella was monospecific until Nauray Huari and Galán de Mera (2009) pro-

posed to include *Telipogon falcatus* in it. Those authors found the two species similar in having lanceolate, acute petals, a triangular-lanceolate, acuminate lip and in the presence of bristles on the lip and gynostemium. That transfer is, however, unfounded based on morphological and molecular data. The two genera differ in vegetative and floral characters. During the examination of the Colombian specimens of Telipogon s. lato we found clear differences in the tepal form between T. falcatus and Hofmeisterella. In the latter genus, all tepals are similar, linearlanceolate, while the former has narrowly ovate sepals and oblong-lanceolate petals. Unlike T. falcatus, the gynostemium of Hofmeisterella is glabrous. We provide a comparison of the morphology of *Hofmeisterella* and *Telipogon* (Table 1), and illustrate the gynostemium structure (Fig. 1) as well as the perianth segment form (Fig. 2) of H. eumicroscopica and T. falcatus (Fig. 2). A close relationship between T. falcatus and Hofmeisterella was also questioned in the molecular analysis made by Williams et al.



Fig. 1. Gynostemium structure. – A: Hofmeisterella (from Heidelberg BG O-21485, HEID). – B: Telipogon (from Jenny Vo-414, UGDA-DLSz).

(2005). *Telipogon falcatus* appears to be sister to a group of South American species comprising of *T. ariasii*, *T. frymieri*, *T. chrysocrates*, *T. andi*- cola, T. pulcher and T. dalstromii. Hofmeisterella, on the other hand, is sister to a clade composed of *Stellilabium* and *Telipogon* species.

Table 1. Morphological comparison of Hofmeisterella and Telipogon.

Character	Hofmeisterella	Telipogon
Pseudobulbs	absent	absent
Leaves	non-articulate, laterally flattened	articulate, conduplicate
Inflorescence	lateral	terminal or appearing so
Tepals	similar	dissimilar
Lip	entire	entire
Gynostemium	elongate, erect, malleolate in	short, erect, relatively robust,
	upper part, delicate, glabrous	swollen just above base, usually covered
		by various kinds of hair
Column foot	obscure	absent
Anther	ventral, incumbent, operculate, ellipsoid-obovoid	dorsal, erect, motile, dorsiventrally
	at base, slightly elongate above, obscurely	compressed, ellipsoid-cordate,
	2-chambered	2-chambered
Pollinia	4 in two pairs, superposed, dissimilar,	4 in two pairs, dissimilar, slightly
	slightly concave or flat on inner surface,	dorsiventrally compressed, obovoid
	convex on outer surface, ellipsoid, hard	to obliquely obovoid, relatively soft
Caudicles	sticky, amorphous	sticky, amorphous
Clinandrium	apical, narrow	apical, obscure
Stigma	large, triangular-ovate, concave	large, elliptic, concave
Rostellum	elongate, conical-digitate, gently bent down,	elongate, linear-subulate, more or less
	obtuse at apex; remnant conical-digitate,	hooked and twisted at apex; remnant
	canaliculate on dorsal surface, slightly	linear-subulate, canaliculate on upper
	notched at apex	surface, acute, rather rigid
Viscidium	single, small, elliptic, thin, lamellate	single, oblanceolate, thin, lamellate,
		hooked and/or slightly twisted
Tegula	single, linear, widened at apex	single, linear with triangular apex,
	only, thin, lamellate	base sometimes twisted, thin, lamellate



Our studies conducted in southern Colombia revealed the existence of a distinctive and undescribed *Hofmeisterella* species easily distinguished from *H. eumicroscopica*.

Hofmeisterella biglobulosa Kolan., Szlach. & R. Medina Trejo, *sp. nova* (Figs. 3 and 4)

TYPE: Colombia. Dept. Putumayo, Sibundoy Valley, parte baja de la Vereda La Cumbre, alt. 2200 m a.s.l., 24 April 2013 *R. Medina 914* (holotype HPUJ!; flowering in cultivation 13 Aug. 2013).

ETYMOLOGY: In reference to the presence of two globular projections on the lip disc, a distinctive character of the species. Plant about 8 cm tall. Leaves 6, 1.5–2 cm long, up to 0.5 cm wide, basal, conduplicate, distichous, slightly falcate, linear-oblong, obtuse. Inflorescence an erect, flattened, few-flowered raceme. Floral bracts up to 9 mm long, ovate-lanceolate-obtuse. Ovary 3 mm long; pedicel 14 mm long. Flowers greenish-yellow with lip base suffused with violet. Tepals fleshy, glabous. Dorsal sepal 10 mm long, about 1 mm wide, linear-lanceolate, subobtuse, 1-veined. Lateral sepals 11 mm long, about 1.2 mm wide, linear-lanceolate, subobtuse, 1-veined. Petals 10 mm long, about 1 mm wide, linear-lanceolate, subobtuse, 1-veined. Petals 10 mm long, about 1 mm wide, linear-lanceolate, subobtuse, 1-veined. Petals 10 mm long, about 1 mm wide, linear-lanceolate, subobtuse, 1-veined. Lip 11–12 mm long, 5–6 mm wide, cordate at the base, concave, triangular-



Fig. 4. *Hofmeisterella biglobulosa.* – **A**: Habit. – **B**: Flower close-up. – **C**: Lip. – **D**: Gynostemium and lip base. – **E** and **F**: Gynostemium. Photos by R. Medina Trejo.

ovate, subacute; disc 3-veined, with an almost flat, puberulent, subquadrate-ovate pad at lip base and a globular projection on each side of pad. Gynostemium 4.5 mm long, glabrous, elongate, erect, maleolate in upper part, delicate. Column part nearly as long as anther, widened and winged in basal half, attenuate towards apex. Anther ventral, incumbent, operculate, ellipsoid-obovoid at base, slightly elongate above, obscurely 2-chambered. Pollinia 4 in two pairs, dissimilar, slightly concave or flat on inner surface, convex on outer surface, ellipsoid, hard. Apical clinandrium narrow. Stigma large, triangular-ovate, concave. Rostellum elongate, conical-digitate, gently bent down, apex obtuse. Viscidium single, small. Tegula single, linear.

This species is known so far only from its type location, where it was found growing in a fragmented montane forest. It is easily distinguished from H. *eumicroscopica* by the presence of a globular projection on each side of an almost flat, puberulent pad on the lip disc. We found in our study of ca. 20 herbarium and liquid-preserved specimens as well as living collections *in situ* of H. *eumicroscopica* from

northern Peru, Ecuador and Colombia, that its lip is glabrous, devoid of any globular projections.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Anna Król for preparing the illustrations. The research has been supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education (research grant no. 8124/B/PO1/2011/40).

References

- Dressler R.L. 1993: Phylogeny and classification of the orchid family. – Dioscorides Press, Portland.
- Nauray Huari W. & Galán de Mera A. 2009: Una nueva combinacion en *Hofmeisterella* para la flora de Colombia. — *Novon* 19: 388–390.
- Neubig K.M., Whitten W.M., Williams N.H., Blanco M., Endara L., Burleigh J.G., Silvera K., Cushman J.C. & Chase M.W. 2012: Generic recircumscriptions of Oncidiinae (Orchidaceae: Cymbidieae) based on maximum likelihood analysis of combined DNA datasets. — Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 168: 117–146.
- Pfitzer E.H.H. 1887: Entwurf einer natürlichen Anordnung der Orchideen. – C. Winter, Heidelberg.
- Reichenbach H.G. 1852: Hofmeisterella Rchb.fil. Annales

Botanicae Systematicae 3: 563.

Sandoval-Zapotitla E., García-Cruz J., Terrazas, T. & Villaseñor J. L. 2010: Relaciones filogenéticas de la subtribu Oncidiinae (Orchidaceae) inferidas a partir de caracteres estructurales y secuencias de ADN (ITS y matK): un enfoque combinado. — *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* 81: 263–279.

Schlechter R. 1915: Die Orchideen: ihre Beschreibung, Kultur

und Züchtung. Handbuch für Orchideenliebhaber, Züchter und Botaniker. – P. Parey, Berlin.

- Szlachetko D.L. & Mytnik-Ejsmont J. 2009: Gynostemia Orchidalium IV. – Acta Botanica Fennica 180: 1–313.
- Williams N.H., Whitten W.M. & Dressler R.L. 2005. Molecular systematics of *Telipogon* (Orchidaceae: Oncidiinae) and its allies: nuclear and plastid DNA sequence data. — *Lankesteriana* 5: 163–184