Effect of grazing on plant diversity of coastal meadows in Estonia
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Vascular plant and bryophyte species richness, and bryophyte diaspore bank were studied in 14 Estonian coastal meadows that had been under two different grazing pressures for ca. 10 years. The aim of the study was to compare the effects of grazing intensity on the diversity of these plant groups, and on the bryophyte diaspore bank. The results showed that (1) intensive grazing increased bryophyte diversity, but not that of vascular plants or the diaspore bank; (2) vascular plant diversity was suppressed by litter cover; (3) vascular plant and bryophyte diversities were positively correlated; and (4) the species composition remained unaffected by grazing intensity.

Introduction

Coastal meadows surrounding the Baltic Sea started to form thousands of years ago, after the continental ice sheet had withdrawn and the land began to rise from the sea. During the post-glacial land uplift, coastal meadows have been continually migrating towards the sea. The isostatic land uplift in the Baltic Sea region is currently ca. 1–9 mm per year (Ekman & Mäkinen 1996).

According to the duration and frequency of flooding, coastal meadows can be divided into saline and suprasaline zones (Laasimer 1965, Rannap et al. 2004). These zones are, on average, less than 50 m wide, but may reach a width of hundreds of meters, the altitude being usually < 1 m a.s.l. (Rannap et al. 2004, Ratas & Rivas 2007). Without grazing by animals, primary meadows would soon be taken over by reed (Phragmites australis) and woody plants, mainly Salix spp. and Juniperus communis. Cattle husbandry in the region of modern Estonia started more than 5000 years ago, presumably in coastal areas (Kriiska 2004). Consequently, the coastal meadows in Estonia are mainly semi-natural communities that require continuous management.

The last 50 years witnessed widespread degradation of coastal meadows due to land use changes and the cessation of traditional management, such as grazing and mowing. During the second half of the 20th century, the area of managed coastal meadows in Estonia decreased from 29 000 ha to 8000 ha (Luhamaa et al. 2001). Abandonment of formerly grazed coastal wetland grasslands in Estonia also reduced the area of grasslands of special conservation value (Burnside et al. 2007). Large losses have also occurred in Sweden and Finland (Jutila 2001, Ottvall & Smith 2006). This habitat type has become endangered throughout Europe (EU Habitat Directive 92/43/EEC) and more research is needed to ensure its protection. Restoration of
coastal meadows started in Estonia at the end of the 20th century. Supporting cattle husbandry and mowing has led to an improvement of many coastal meadows (Kose et al. 2011).

Coastal meadows are characterized by a high plant diversity: 390 vascular plant species (Pärtel et al. 2007), and 100 bryophyte species (authors’ unpubl. data), comprising 26% of the Estonian vascular plant flora and 17% of the Estonian bryophyta, have been found in the Estonian coastal meadows. Coastal meadows are the primary habitat for several rare or endangered plant and animal species (Roosaluste 2004, Rannap et al. 2007, Rautiainen et al. 2007), and serve as feeding or breeding sites for many birds (Ottvall & Smith 2006), which are threatened by their decline. In addition to several protected vascular plant species, one protected bryophyte species, *Bryum marrati*, grows in the Estonian coastal meadows. Maintaining the richness of vascular plant and bryophyte species in coastal meadows requires knowledge of the ecological demands of these species, and possible effects of meadow management.

Although numerous studies on the influence of mowing and grazing on vascular plant richness in different types of meadows were carried out, very few concerned bryophytes (Takala et al. 2012), and while the effects of grazing on coastal meadow vascular plant vegetation gained some attention (Jutila 1997, 1998, 1999, 2001, Grace & Jutila 1999, Dupre & Dieckmann 2001, Loucogaray et al. 2004), same cannot be said about bryophytes. However, these effects should be studied, because bryophytes are known to suppress germination and juvenile growth of several vascular plant species that rely mainly on seed dispersal (Keizer et al. 1985, van Tooren 1988, Zamfir 2000, Otsus & Zobel 2004, Souzdilovskaia et al. 2011). On the other hand, bryophytes can promote germination or juvenile growth of some species. Moss cover may facilitate vascular plants by maintaining high soil moisture and provide nutrients upon decomposition (van Tooren et al. 1987, Longton 1992). In addition, the bryophyte layer provides a habitat for many invertebrates (Gerson 1982). Therefore, bryophytes play an important role also in coastal meadow communities.

We aimed to fill the above-mentioned gaps in knowledge by comparing the responses of both vascular plants and bryophytes to grazing intensity in coastal meadows.

Our aims were (1) to determine how grazing intensity, litter, and bryophyte cover affect the diversity of vascular plant and bryophyte diversity; and (2) to find out how the diasporic bank of bryophytes in coastal meadows is affected by management intensity.

### Material and methods

#### Study area

Our study sites were located in the Matsalu National Park on the western coast of Estonia, and on the island of Saaremaa, (58°23′–58°44′ and 23°40′–32°74′; Fig. 1). The two regions have a similar soil type (coastal glaysol; Kask 1988) and climate, which is relatively mild owing to the proximity to the sea. The mean temperature is 16.5 °C in July and −4.5 °C in January; the average annual temperature is 5–5.5 °C, and the annual precipitation is 600–650 mm (Jaagus 1999). However, there is a slight difference between the two areas: Occasionally, surface-water salinity of the more closed Matsalu Bay can be low (0.5–6 PSU) and the nutrient levels high due to the inflow of the Kasari River (Kotta et al. 2008). The sea around Saaremaa island is more open and the fluctuations in salinity (6–7 PSU) and nutrients are not so great. The study plots were set in the suprasaline zone of the coastal meadows, which are flooded by brackish water only during severe storms.

#### Data collection and analyses

The data were collected in July 2004 in Matsalu and in August 2005 in Saaremaa. The study contained 14 grazed coastal meadows (seven in Matsalu and seven in Saaremaa; Table 1). Seven of the meadows (3 in Matsalu and 4 in Saaremaa) were grazed more intensively than advised (more than 1 cow per ha) and the remaining seven were grazed weakly (less than 1 cow per ha). The recommended number of cattle on coastal meadows is ca. 1 cow or 2–5 sheep per ha (http://www.keskkonnaamet.ee/public/PLK/Guidelines-
for-Coastal-Meadow-Maintenance.pdf). Data on the management type for the previous 10 years were obtained from the local authorities. The majority of the meadows were grazed regularly up to the end of the 1980s. Since the beginning of the 1990s more than half of the meadows were neglected or with a very low grazing load. The mean area of the studied meadows was 100 ha (range 25–350 ha). A 50 x 50 m homogeneous study plot was delimited in each coastal meadow. Ten 1 x 1 m plots were randomly distributed within each larger plot. Bryophyte and vascular plant species, the cover of bryophyte species, and the cover of litter (undecomposed parts of dead plants) were recorded in each 1 m² plot and mean values were calculated for each larger plot. The cover of vascular plants was not recorded since it changes much more than bryophyte cover during the vegetation period and due to different grazing pressure. Soil samples from the upper 1 cm layer were taken from five 1 m² plots in each meadow to study the bryophyte diaspore bank and measure soil pH. Soil pH varied little among the studied meadows (mean = 7.6, range 6.1–8.3). As the samples were collected only from five 1 m² plots in each larger plot, this parameter was excluded from further analysis.

The soil samples were sieved and all visible plant particles removed. About 30 cm³ of soil from each 1 m² plot was spread on Petri dishes with a diameter of 9 cm. The soil was kept moist by spraying with distilled water. The daytime radiation was between 100–110 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and the temperature fluctuated between 25–32 °C at daytime and 15–20 °C at night. Germinated bryophytes were classified to species after six months. The number of germinated species was evaluated for each dish, and the mean number of species was calculated for each meadow.

Life history strategy group (during 1992) was specified for each bryophyte species. Spearman’s rank-order correlation (ρ) was used to
study the relationships between species richness of vascular plants and bryophytes, and a Mann-
Whitney U-test was used to compare the species richness, litter, and bryophyte cover of the two
regions. General Linear Models (GLM in Statistica 7) were used to study the influence of four
predictors on species richness of bryophytes, bryophyte diaspore bank, and vascular plants.
Two categorical predictors: ‘grazing intensity’ (strong and weak grazing) and ‘region’ (Matsalu
and Saaremaa, differing in the surrounding seawater properties) were used in the model. Also
two continuous predictors, ‘bryophyte cover’ and ‘litter cover’, were used in the model. The
differences in species composition of the plots with different management type were analyzed
with Detrended correspondence analysis (DCA).

The taxonomic nomenclature of liverworts follows Schumacker and Váá (2005), of mosses
Hill et al. (2006), and of vascular plants Krall et al. (2010).

**Results**

Altogether 50 species of bryophytes were identified in the studied meadows (Table 2). Thirty-five
bryophyte species germinated from the diaspore bank, and some of them developed antheridia or
archegonia. Eighteen species from the diaspore bank were not recorded in the emergent flora,
and 15 species were observed exclusively aboveground. Seventeen species were found in both
the diaspore bank and the emergent flora. The emergent flora contained more pleurocarpous
species, although acrocarps and pleurocarps were equally represented in the diaspore bank. According
to life history strategies (During 1992), perennials dominated aboveground, whereas colonist
and shuttle species dominated in the diaspore bank. The most common bryophytes aboveground
were Calliergonella cuspidata, Homalothecium lutescens and Rhytidiadelphus squarrosum, and
in the diaspore bank Barbula convoluta, Funaria hygrometrica and Pohlia nutans.

A total of 148 vascular plant species was identified in the studied meadows. The most fre-
quent taxa were Galium verum, Festuca rubra, Centaurea jacea, Ranunculus acris, Galium
boreale, Achillea millefolium, Trifolium pra-
Tense, Taraxacum spp., and Lathyrus pratensis.

Total species richness per site of above-ground bryophytes was positively correlated with vascular plant richness ($\rho = 0.624, n = 14, p < 0.02$), but the mean small-scale (1 m$^2$) richness was not.

Only the total species richness of bryophytes was significantly higher in the Matsalu region ($U$-test: $Z = 2.875, n = 14, p = 0.0036$). The cover of bryophytes and litter did not differ significantly between meadows with different grazing loads ($U$-test, $p > 0.05$).

The GLM analyses showed that the species richness of vascular plants was significantly associated with region and amount of litter cover, and the species richness of bryophytes was significantly associated with region and grazing intensity (Table 3). Higher species richness in both plant groups was in Matsalu. The litter cover had significant negative effect on the richness of vascular plants, but not on the richness of bryophytes. Bryophyte cover showed no association with species richness of the plant groups. Bryophyte richness was significantly positively affected by grazing intensity (Fig. 2).

Species from diasporic bank emerged from 69 plots of the total 70. The mean richness of the diasporic bank for all areas ($n = 14$) was 5.5 (range 1.4–5.8). According to the GLM analyses, there were no significant differences in the mean species richness of the diasporic bank (data not shown).

DCA did not reveal differences in the species composition of the plots with different management types.

**Discussion**

In most coastal meadows grazing prevents overgrowth by woody plants and reeds and increases plant species richness. Species richness of vas-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Emergent flora</th>
<th>Diaspor bank</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Emergent flora</th>
<th>Diaspor bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abietinella abietina</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dicranella varia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneura pinguis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Didymodon fallax</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amblystegium serpens</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ditrichum flexicaule</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amblystegium subtile</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Drepanocladus aduncus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrichum angustatum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Drepanocladus polygarnus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrichum tenerulum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fissidens adianthoides</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbula convoluta</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Fissidens osmundoides</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachythecium alicans</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Funaria hygrometrica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachythecium melaneum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Homalothecium lutescens</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachythecium rivulare</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hymgroamblystegium varium</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachythecium rubatulum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hylocomium splendens</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachythecium salebrosun</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hypnum lindbergii</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryum argenteum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Leptobryum pyriforme</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryum pseudotriquetrum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lophocolea bidentata</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryum sp.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Oxyrrhynchium hians</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calliergon cordifolium</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Physcomitrium sp.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calliergonella cuspidata</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Plagiomnium cuspidatum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campyliadelphus chrysophyllus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Plagiomnium ellipticum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campyliadelphus elodes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Pohlia nutans</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campyliophyllum sommerfeltii</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pseudocalliceran turgescens</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campylium stellatum</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pseudoscloportum purum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceratodon purpureus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rytidiadelphus squarrosus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cratoneuron filicum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Scopodium scorpioides</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ctenidium molluscum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Thuidium delicatulum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicranella schreberiana</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tortula sp.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cular plants in the Estonian coastal meadows is highest in the suprasaline zone (Roosaluste 2004). Our data were collected from two coastal regions: the Matsalu region on the mainland and from the Saaremaa region on an island. Higher species richness of both plant groups was found in the Matsalu coastal meadows. This might be partly explained by the differences in salinity of the adjacent sea water; however, Matsalu National Park is a prominent Ramsar site (see http://www.ramsar.org/wetland/estonia) and the number of migrating birds there is much higher than on the Saaremaa island (Leito et al. 2003). Since birds can be important dispersal vectors for plants, this might also explain the higher species richness in Matsalu.

Overly intensive grazing or inadequate grazing can cause significant changes in plant cover. Our study revealed different responses of vascular plants and bryophytes to grazing intensity, in a homogenous community type, suprasaline coastal meadow. Our results suggest that species richness of bryophytes is significantly affected by grazing intensity, but grazing intensity has no effect on vascular plant species richness. Jutila (1997) noted that the species richness of vascular plants in seashore grasslands in Finland is greater in areas that are not grazed. By contrast, cessation of grazing for 5–25 years in grasslands in the Finnish interior resulted in a decline in species richness (Luoto et al. 2003). In salt marshes in Belgium, grazing by sheep increased plant richness in higher zones but no effect was detected in lower zones (Milotic et al. 2010). A study of coastal meadows in the UK demonstrated an increase of vascular plant richness induced by grazing (Ford et al. 2012). Dupré and Diekmann (2001) reported greater species richness in grazed coastal meadows than in abandoned meadows in southern Sweden at a small scale (0.01 m²), but insignificant differences in plots 1 m² or larger. It seems that vascular plant richness responds to grazing in different ways depending on the geographical region and meadow type. Vegetation in coastal areas must overcome permanent or occasional flooding by sea (or brackish) water, wave-induced soil erosion, and storm surges. Vegetation in coastal areas can be classified into distinct plant communities according to the intensity of those environmental factors, bedrock type and

![Fig. 2. Effect of grazing intensity on the bryophyte richness according to GLM. Whiskers are 95% CIs.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vascular plants (n = 14)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bryophyte cover</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter cover</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing intensity</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region × grazing intensity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bryophytes (n = 14)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bryophyte cover</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter cover</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing intensity</td>
<td>10.30</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region × grazing intensity</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
intensity increases the bryophyte diversity on suprasaline coastal meadows.

Our study shows that the vascular plant richness in the Estonian coastal meadows was negatively affected by litter cover, but litter did not affect the bryophyte richness. Peintinger and Bergamini (2006) revealed that litter mass reduced both vascular plant and bryophyte species densities in fen meadows in Switzerland. Standing litter biomass in mountain meadows in Scotland has a negative effect on bryophyte richness, but no effect on vascular plant richness (Virtanen & Crawley 2010). In the old-field communities in USA, litter removal increased the species richness of annual plants, but increased the total vascular plant richness only temporarily (Carson & Peterson 1990). In a study of grasslands in Hungary (Kelemen et al. 2012), both living biomass and litter biomass increased vascular plant richness at low amounts but decreased it at high amounts. This indicates that the relation between species richness and the amount of litter depends on the community type and the amount of litter. Higher amount of litter in our study was not caused by lower grazing load. The explanation to this can be that at least some litter was swept off by storms and the decrease of vascular plant richness due to higher litter cover might partly be explained by the effect of brackish water and waves.

The diaspor bank and seed bank analysis can provide insight into potential species diversity and past changes in the habitat. Several studies have revealed that seed banks in grasslands decline with intensified grazing (Bertiller 1992, Marage et al. 2006; but see Matus et al. 2005); similar studies on the bryophyte diaspor bank are lacking. Our data showed that the bryophyte diaspor bank was unaffected by grazing intensity. The diaspor bank can maintain its vitality for a very long time as proved by During (2007), so it is quite understandable that the short period of low grazing did not affect its diversity. Bryophytes can germinate from spores or from various vegetative propagules. In our study, 35 bryophyte species germinated from the diaspor bank. The diaspor bank contained equal numbers of acrocarpous and pleurocarpous species, but more pleurocarpous species were found above ground. This was also found in other grasslands (During & ter Horst 1983, During et al. 1987, van Tooren et al. 1990). The diaspor bank plays different roles depending on the characteristics of the life history and environment of each species. The diaspor bank of annual bryophytes often serves the same purpose as the seed bank for annual vascular plants, enabling the species to germinate in favourable conditions and evade unfavourable ones (During 1997). Diaspor banks may also serve as accumulators of genetic variability over generations (Hock et al. 2008). Longevity of several bryophyte diaspores was shown by During (2001, 2007), but it remains unknown how long the composition and richness of diaspor banks in meadows are maintained after cessation of grazing. Our study showed that grazing intensity does not affect composition or richness of the bryophyte diaspor bank in the Baltic coastal meadows, at least during short periods, but since low grazing intensity inhibits germination, this might over longer periods lead to impoverishment of the whole local bryophyte species pool. The unexpectedly diverse diaspor bank in these coastal meadows makes them intriguing for more detailed studies in the future.

We conclude that grazing intensity and amount of litter in coastal meadows have a different effect on the species richness of bryophytes and vascular plants, respectively. The richness of the bryophyte diaspor bank, which in this study included more than two thirds of the local bryoflora in coastal meadows, is unaffected by grazing intensity.
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